

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/852,681	Applicant(s) ONO, RYO	
	Examiner Mathieu D. Vargot	Art Unit 1732	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Meikle (Atty).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

4-8, 11 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claims 4-8, 11 and 12 were amended to remove the improper multiple dependency language—ie, "and" was changed to —or—.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)